# Math 206B Lecture 17 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 15, 2019

## 1 Odds, Ends, and Things to Come

### 1.1 Recap episode

We have learned a few things so far:

- 1. Representation theory of  $S_n$
- 2. Combinatorics of Young tableau and combinatorial algorithms
  - (a) RSK algorithm
  - (b) Hook length formula
  - (c) Stanley's formula and the Hilman-Grassl algorithm.

We will learn about two more things:

- 1.  $\operatorname{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  representation theory
- 2. Symmetric functions.

These are related to further topics we won't cover, namely Schubert calculus and enumerative algebraic geometry.

### 1.2 Combinatorial questions about partitions

**Theorem 1.1** (MacMahon, c.1900). Let  $PP(\lambda, c)$  be the set of plane partitions of shape  $\lambda$  numbers  $\leq c$ . Then  $PP(a^b, c)$  (rectangles with numbers at most c) satisfies

$$|\operatorname{PP}(\lambda, c)| = \prod_{i=1}^{a} \prod_{j=1}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{c} \frac{i+j+k-1}{i+j+k-2}$$

Here is a q-version.

Theorem 1.2.

$$\sum_{A \in \text{PP}(a^{b},c)} q^{|A|} = \prod_{i=1}^{a} \prod_{j=1}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{c} \frac{(i+j+k-1)_{q}}{(i+j+k-2)_{q}}$$

where  $(m)_q = (1 - q^m)/(1 - q)$ .

So when  $q \to 1$ , we get the original theorem.

The number of Lozenge tilings of an  $a \times b \times c$  hexagon is the number of  $PP(a^b, c)$ . View the tilings as stacked 3-dimensional cubes, a 3-dimensional partition of an  $a \times b \times c$ box. This is a bit strange. For any graph G, the number of perfect matching in G is the determinant of some matrix. We can view a tiling as a matching in terms of the dual graph. How can this determinant have a product formula?

#### **1.3** Related ideas in representation theory

**Theorem 1.3** (Frobenius, c.1902). Let  $\xi^{\alpha}$  be the character corresponding to  $M^{\alpha}$ , let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell})$ , let  $\rho = (\ell, \ldots, 1)$  be a staircase partition, and for a permutation  $\omega$ , let  $\omega \alpha = (\alpha_{\omega(1)}, \alpha_{\omega(2)}, \ldots)$ . Then

$$\chi^{\lambda} = \sum_{\omega \in S_{\ell}} \operatorname{sign}(\omega) \xi^{\lambda - \omega \rho + \rho}.$$

Here, the convention is  $\xi^{\alpha} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}^{\ell}$  (if we get negative entries, discard the term).

This formula looks a little like a determinant. There is a relationship between these formulas.

**Theorem 1.4** (Kostka). Let  $K_{\lambda,\mu} = \# \operatorname{SSYT}(\lambda,\mu)$ . Then

$$\xi^{\mu} = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} K_{\lambda,\mu} \chi^{\lambda}.$$

This explains the triangular nature of everything because you cannot have a semistandard Young tableau with shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $\mu$  if  $\lambda \leq \mu$ . Forbenius's theorem gives a form for the inverse of the matrix

$$(K_{\lambda,\mu})_{|\lambda|=|\mu|=n}^{-1}.$$

These values are called the Kostka numbers. Here is what we will learn:

**Definition 1.1.** The Schur functions are  $s_{\lambda} = \sum_{A \in SSYT(\lambda)} x_a^{m_1(A)} \cdots x_N^{m_N(A)}$ , where  $m_i(A)$  is the number of *i* in *A*.

**Theorem 1.5.** The  $s_{\lambda}$  are symmetric functions  $(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_N]^{S_N})$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The elementary symmetric polynomials are  $e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2} \cdots$ .

**Theorem 1.6** (Jacobi-Trudy). Using the convention that  $e_r = 0$  for all r < 0,

$$s_{\lambda} = \det[\tilde{e}_{\lambda_i+j-i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,\ell}.$$

It turns out that the Jacobi-Trudy theorem is saying the same thing as Frobenius's theorem. Also, the definition of Schur functions is equivalent to Kostka's theorem.<sup>1</sup> The idea is then that ,

$$|\operatorname{PP}(a^b, c)| = s_{(a,b)}(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{c}).$$

Since the Schur functions have a determinant formula, we can see why there is a determinant formula for Lozenge tilings. To get the q-analogue, we can look at  $s_{(a,b)}(1,q,q^2,\ldots,q^{c-1})$ . This is the general picture we will be going through in the next few weeks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is in the same sense that whoever came up with the definition of variance understood a lot about scalar products. The definition is designed to be compatible with the nice structure.